

# The Fourth Cervical Vertebra Anterior and Posterior Body Height Projections (Vba) for the Assessment of Pubertal Growth Spurt

---

**Cameriere, Roberto; Velandia Palacio, Luz Andrea; Nakaš, Enita; Galić, Ivan; Brkić, Hrvoje; Kalibović Govorko, Danijela; Jerković, Daniel; Jara, Liliana; Ferrante, Luigi**

*Source / Izvornik:* **Applied Sciences, 2023, 13**

**Journal article, Published version**

**Rad u časopisu, Objavljena verzija rada (izdavačev PDF)**

<https://doi.org/10.3390/app13031819>

*Permanent link / Trajna poveznica:* <https://um.nsk.hr/um:nbn:hr:127:060182>

*Rights / Prava:* [Attribution 4.0 International](#) / [Imenovanje 4.0 međunarodna](#)

*Download date / Datum preuzimanja:* **2024-07-26**



*Repository / Repozitorij:*

[University of Zagreb School of Dental Medicine  
Repository](#)



## Article

# The Fourth Cervical Vertebra Anterior and Posterior Body Height Projections (*Vba*) for the Assessment of Pubertal Growth Spurt

Roberto Cameriere <sup>1</sup>, Luz Andrea Velandia Palacio <sup>2</sup> , Enita Nakaš <sup>3</sup> , Ivan Galić <sup>4,\*</sup> , Hrvoje Brkić <sup>5,6,\*</sup> , Danijela Kalibović Govorko <sup>7</sup> , Daniel Jerković <sup>4</sup>, Liliana Jara <sup>2</sup> and Luigi Ferrante <sup>8</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> AgEstimation Project, Department of Medicine and Health Sciences “Vincezo Tiberio”, University of Molise, 86100 Campobasso, Italy
  - <sup>2</sup> Research Center Dental Department, Institución Universitaria Colegios de Colombia (UNICOC), Bogota 250001, Colombia
  - <sup>3</sup> Department of Orthodontics, School of Dental Medicine, University of Sarajevo, 71000 Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina
  - <sup>4</sup> Departments of Oral Surgery, School of Medicine, University Hospital of Split, University of Split, 21000 Split, Croatia
  - <sup>5</sup> Department of Dental Anthropology and Chair of Forensic Dentistry, School of Dental Medicine, University of Zagreb, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia
  - <sup>6</sup> Faculty of Dental Medicine, University of Lisbon, 1649-004 Lisbon, Portugal
  - <sup>7</sup> Department of Orthodontics, School of Medicine, University Hospital of Split, University of Split, 21000 Split, Croatia
  - <sup>8</sup> Center of Epidemiology, Biostatistics, and Medical Information Technology, Department of Biomedical Sciences and Public Health, Polytechnic University of Marche, Via Conca 71, 60020 Torrette di Ancona, Italy
- \* Correspondence: igitalic@mefst.hr (I.G.); brkic@sfzg.hr (H.B.); Tel.: +385-2155-7700 (I.G.); +385-1480-2166 (H.B.)

**Featured Application:** Roberto Cameriere and Ivan Galić equally contributed to this paper.



**Citation:** Cameriere, R.; Velandia Palacio, L.A.; Nakaš, E.; Galić, I.; Brkić, H.; Kalibović Govorko, D.; Jerković, D.; Jara, L.; Ferrante, L. The Fourth Cervical Vertebra Anterior and Posterior Body Height Projections (*Vba*) for the Assessment of Pubertal Growth Spurt. *Appl. Sci.* **2023**, *13*, 1819. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app13031819>

Academic Editor: Vittorio Checchi

Received: 10 January 2023

Revised: 28 January 2023

Accepted: 29 January 2023

Published: 31 January 2023



**Copyright:** © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

**Abstract:** This paper aims to propose a statistical model to assess pubertal growth spurt using the ratio of the anterior height projection to the posterior (*Vba*) of the fourth cervical vertebra body (C4) on cephalograms and to calculate the residual proportion of skeletal maturation and the time for the pubertal growth spurt to end for a given *Vba*. A sample of 538 cephalograms from healthy-living children aged between 5 and 15 years was analyzed. A segmented regression model was used to explain the different *Vba* stages relative to the pubertal growth spurt. In addition, the time to achieve skeletal maturation was evaluated for a given *Vba* between the beginning (*Vba*<sub>1</sub>) and the end (*Vba*<sub>2</sub>) of the pubertal growth spurt. A longitudinal sample of 25 males and 25 females was analyzed to validate the proposed method. The values of *Vba* corresponding to higher pubertal development rate ranged from *Vba*<sub>1</sub> = 0.677 (95%CI, 0.644–0.711) to *Vba*<sub>2</sub> = 0.966 (95%CI, 0.905–1.028) and from *Vba*<sub>1</sub> = 0.669 (95%CI, 0.645–0.693) to *Vba*<sub>2</sub> = 1.073 (95%CI, 1.044–1.101) in males and females, respectively. The validation process results showed that our model did not produce any incorrect forecasts. The proposed method estimates the beginning and the end of the pubertal growth spurt together with the residual proportion of skeletal maturation for a given *Vba*.

**Keywords:** dental age; skeletal age; cervical vertebrae; pubertal growth spurt; segmented regression; dental growth; AgEstimation

## 1. Introduction

Dynamics and timing of dental and skeletal maturation in growing children are fundamental in orthodontic treatment planning; they provide clinical information on how and when a patient should start using maxillary orthopedic appliances or indicate the necessity for orthognathic surgery [1–3]. Growth and development assessments use individual indicators or developmental stages to describe the changes that occur during life.

Indicators can vary from height and weight gain, development of sexual characteristics, menarche in women, and assessment of mental maturity [4]. Since chronological age is an unreliable way to estimate pubertal growth spurt because of the high variability among individuals in its timing of initiation, duration, and amount during children's growth, several other approaches have been used [5–10].

X-ray of the hand and wrist to assess skeletal maturity has been a standard procedure in clinical orthodontics to assess whether a person is skeletally mature or determine the possibilities of planned therapy [11]. The most straightforward approach to assessing the development of the hand and wrist is to compare the X-ray image with the available Atlas of the development of the hand and wrist [12]. According to Greulich and Pyle, the Atlas of skeletal development of the hand and wrist contains images of the hand and wrist of normal healthy children from birth to adulthood with an age interval of 3 years [13]. Hagg and Taranger [12] studied the skeletal stages of the hand and wrist to indicate a pubertal growth spurt. They concluded that specific skeletal indicators, the ulnar sesamoid of the metacarpophalangeal joint of the first finger, the epiphyses of the middle and distal phalanges of the third finger, and the distal epiphysis of the radius might indicate the onset, peak, and end of the pubertal growth spurt [12]. Bjork et al. [4], in their method for epidemiological registration of malocclusion, defined two stages of the eruption of deciduous teeth, five stages of the eruption of permanent anterior teeth, and four stages of the eruption of permanent molars. Björk and Helm [14] showed that dental stages from Bjork et al. [4], close to the timing of maximum pubertal growth in height, DS4, all canines, and premolars fully erupted, and DS M<sub>2</sub>, all second molars fully erupted, were of little value as criteria of pubertal peak comparing to ossification of the ulnar sesamoid at the metacarpophalangeal joint of the thumb or menarche. The timing of the eruption of permanent teeth is more dependent on local factors such as loss of deciduous teeth or crowding [15].

Historically, the most common method for assessment of skeletal maturation was an analysis of hand–wrist radiograph, used later by Fishman [16], who related specific skeletal maturity indicator stages to pubertal growth spurt. Furthermore, Lamparski [17] claimed that the use of the cervical vertebrae was as valid as the hand–wrist bones to assess skeletal maturation and described a new approach based on the changes in the shape of five cervical vertebrae. His study designed a series of standards of cervical vertebral maturation (CVM), separating male and female participants concerning chronological age and skeletal maturation [17]. Hassel and Farman [18] modified Lamparski's method and proved that CVM was acceptably accurate and helpful in estimating an individual's skeletal maturity and residual growth potential, as well as the hand–wrist method. Studies from Baccetti [1] and Franchi [19] further demonstrated that CVM method is useful as a biological indicator for both mandibular and somatic maturation.

Hassel and Farman [18] modified Lamparski's method and demonstrated the changes in the shape of cervical vertebrae in each stage of skeletal growth, proving to be acceptably accurate and valuable for estimating skeletal maturity and residual growth potential of an individual as the hand–wrist method. Finally, Baccetti et al. [1] and Franchi et al. [19] showed that the cervical vertebral maturation method is useful as a biological indicator for both mandibular and somatic maturation. Their study was critical due to the use of longitudinal data from radiographs and because their method was based on changes in the annual increase in total mandibular length instead of comparison with hand–wrist skeletal age [1,19].

Dental age estimation methods in children can help assess the development of the dentition, whether it is accelerated or delayed, and the effect of specific therapeutic procedures in oncology patients. In addition, they can be used in research on the growth and development of twins, the effect of hormone replacement therapy, or in estimating age in persons without the possibility of identification [20–25]. In the development of permanent teeth, their exchange with milk teeth is essential, as the lower and upper molars and front incisors sprout first, and tooth sprouting takes place until 21–23 years of age [26]. In addition to age

assessment based on the number of permanent teeth in the mouth, more reliable methods of dental age assessment are based on the analysis of radiographic images, and so far, several methods have been presented that mainly assess tooth development in stages. The most commonly used methods that use developed stages are Demirjian, Willems, Haavikko, and Chaillet [27–30]. If a strong association of dental development with skeletal development were to be proven, some of the values used to assess tooth development could be taken as a reference or even the first choice in diagnosing the pubertal growth spurt. Dental scans are a mandatory part of the protocol when planning orthodontic therapy so that they can assess the expected or completed pubertal growth spurt [31].

The atlas or staging systems generally used in estimating the development regularly contain a set of longitudinal figures of different ossification stages, which typically do not stand on accurate measurements with definite orientation points [32]. Cameriere et al. introduced another approach for studying dental and skeletal development for age estimation by specific lengths or area measurements of the apposition of secondary dentine in dental radiographs and the ossification of the carpal skeleton in hand and wrist radiographs [33–49].

Cameriere et al. [40] and Gulsahi et al. [50] published articles where the heights of the anterior and posterior sides of the C4 vertebral body from lateral cephalograms in individuals aged 5–15 years were used to estimate the chronological age with forensic purposes. The ratio of heights or *Vba* has shown a correlation to chronological age and was recognized as a potential indicator for age estimation in this age range [40]. After Bayes calibration, the mean absolute errors (MAEs) were 1.34 years with standard deviation (SD) of 0.95 years and 1.01 years (SD 0.71 years), and the mean inter-quartile ranges (MIQRs) of the calibrating distribution were 2.32 years (SD, 0.25 years) and 1.72 years (SD, 0.39 years) in males and females, respectively [40]. Gulsahi et al. [50] also investigated the correlation of age and *Vba* on the Turkish sample, and after Bayes calibration, MAEs were 0.879 years and 0.906 years (mean interquartile ranges (MIQR.s) 1.290 and 1.435 years) in males and females, respectively.

According to published results of the usefulness of *Vba* in the specific age span of development when adolescent growth spurt occurs, we decided to study the values of *Vba*, particularly the distributions of its minimum and maximum, during adolescence.

The aims of this study were, therefore, to evaluate the ratio of the anterior height projection to the posterior (*Vba*) of the fourth cervical vertebra body (C4) on cephalograms and its relationship with a pubertal growth spurt and to propose a statistical model based on segmented regression to calculate the residual proportion of the skeletal maturation for a given *Vba* and the time for the pubertal growth spurt to end for a given *Vba*.

The proposed model will be validated using longitudinal data from the Michigan Growth Center supported by the American Association of Orthodontists Foundation (AAOF).

## 2. Materials and Methods

This cross-sectional observational study evaluated 527 lateral cephalograms previously taken for dental diagnoses and pretreatment planning. All participants were healthy individuals aged between 5 and 15 years who lived in Croatia and without a recorded history of genetic or developmental anomalies of the skeleton. The study was conducted by the ethical standards laid down by the Declaration of Helsinki [51].

The age and sex distribution for each age category of the sample are presented in Figure 1.

In addition, the following data were recorded for each subject: dates of birth and of the radiograph, sex, as reported on the radiograph, and ancestry as reported by either self or family. The observers were blinded to information on the subject's sex and age.

The radiographs were processed according to the method of Cameriere et al. [40]. The ratio of the anterior height projection to the posterior ( $A/B = Vba$ ), Figure 2, was used first to correlate with the different moments of the pubertal growth spurt and second, to propose

a method that can quantitatively determine the beginning and the end of the pubertal growth spurt in an individual.




Figure 1. Frequency distribution of the sample by sex and age cohort.




Figure 2. An example of the anterior (A) and the posterior (B) sides of the fourth cervical vertebral body. The anterior side of the body is measured up to the point where the anterior side (A) curves (C1) towards the superior side (C2) of the vertebral body.

We evaluated lateral cephalograms from 50 subjects (25 males and 25 females) to validate the proposed model. The lateral cephalograms of the subjects belong to the Michigan Growth Center and include the subset of subjects with at least four radiographs. For each subject, the number of observations ranged from 4 to 6, totaling 227 radiographs.

### Statistical Analysis


Thirty randomly selected lateral cephalograms were reanalyzed after two weeks to assess the intra-observer repeatability and inter-observer reliability of  $Vba$  by the same observer and a second one, respectively. The intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC) was used to evaluate intra- and inter-observer agreement [52].

According to the results in this study and reported by Cameriere et al. [40], we considered that C4 development presented as  $Vba$  increment is not constant concerning age, but it seems to follow three distinct phases with increment in the intermediate phase.

The nonlinear relationship between  $Vba$  and actual age suggests fitting data using a segmented regression model, which allows us to estimate two breakpoints,  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  and both regression coefficients characterize the interval of ages with higher pubertal development rate [53]. The proposed segmented regression model to fit the data is:

$$Vba = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot age + \beta_2 \cdot (age - \tau_1)_+ + \beta_3 \cdot (age - \tau_2)_+ \quad (1)$$

where  $\beta_i$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, 3$ , are the regression coefficients, and  $(x)_+$  is equal to  $x$  if  $x$  is positive and 0 otherwise. The meanings of the regression coefficients are:  $\beta_0$  is the intercept of the piecewise linear curve with the vertical axis (see Figure 3), i.e., it is the mean  $Vba$  value at age = 0;  $\beta_1$  is the “left” slope, i.e., the growth rate when age <  $\tau_1$ ; the sum  $\beta_1 + \beta_2$  is the “central” slope, i.e., the growth rate of  $Vba$  when the individual age belongs to the interval  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ ; finally, the sum  $\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3$  is the “right” slope, namely the slope when age >  $\tau_2$  and it is the growth rate of  $Vba$  when individual’s age is greater than  $\tau_2$ .



**Figure 3.** The distribution of the proportions of the anterior and posterior sides of the C4 vertebral body ( $Vba$ ) as the function of age for males (left) and females (right) subjects with segmented regression plots.

Fitting the model to the data, we estimated the regression coefficients and two break-points. Furthermore, we estimated the values of  $Vba$  corresponding to the two breakpoints,  $Vba_1$  and  $Vba_2$  (spurts), and, for a given value of  $Vba$ , the residual proportion of maturation of the C4 vertebral body,  $\theta$ . Considering that  $Vba_1$  and  $Vba_2$  are the random variables normally distributed, the residual proportion of maturation,  $\theta$ , is also a random variable, but it is not normally distributed. Consequently, for each value of  $Vba$ , we reported the median, the first, and the third quartile of  $\theta$ .

Concerning the validation process, for the  $k$ -th subject ( $k = 1, \dots, N$ ), the gathered information can be summarized considering the set  $\mathcal{I}_k = \{(a_i, Vba_i), i = 1, \dots, n_k\}$ , where  $a_i$  is the age of the subject at the  $i$ -th radiograph,  $Vba_i$  is the corresponding  $Vba$  value, and  $n_k$  is the number of radiographs of the  $k$ -th subject.

Since our model allowed us to estimate the beginning of the pubertal spurt,  $\tau_1$ , and the corresponding  $Vba$  value,  $Vba_1$ , we can consider  $\eta_1 = \min \{Vba_i: Vba_i \geq v\}$ , i.e., the first radiograph of the subject with  $Vba$  value greater than  $Vba_1$ , and  $t_1$ , the corresponding age of the subject. Subsequently, we evaluated the residual proportion of maturation of the C4 vertebral body  $\theta_k = Vba_2 - \eta_1$ , and  $\Delta\tau$ , the time elapsed from  $\eta_1$  to  $Vba_2$ .

Finally, we estimated the expected age for the end of the spurt,  $t_2 = t_1 + \Delta\tau$ , for the  $k$ -th subject, the value  $\alpha = \min \{a_i: a_i \geq t_2, i = 1, \dots, n_k\}$  and  $\eta_2$ , the corresponding  $Vba$  value obtained using equation (1):  $\eta_2 = Vba(\alpha)$ .

If  $\eta_2$  belonged to the 95% CI for  $Vba_2$ , the forecast of the expected end of the pubertal spurt for the  $k$ -th subject was considered correct (C). If  $\eta_2$  resulted in less than the lower limit of the 95% CI for  $Vba_2$ , but the next observed  $Vba$  value of the subject, say  $\eta_3$ , belonged to the 95% CI for  $Vba_2$ , the forecast was considered slightly underestimated (U). If none of the above conditions was verified, the estimate was considered an incorrect forecast (I). Statistical analyses were performed with the R statistical program [54].

### 3. Results

There were no statistically significant intra-observer differences between paired sets of measurements on re-examined lateral cephalograms, ICC = 0.97 (95%CI, 0.95–0.98). A similar result was obtained considering the agreement between the two observers, ICC = 0.93 (95%CI, 0.89–0.96).

The parameter estimates of the segmented model used to fit the data for both males and females are listed in Table 1, with their standard errors.

**Table 1.** Parameter estimates of the segmented regression model (1) were used to fit the data separately for males and females.

| Coefficients | Males    |       | Females  |       |
|--------------|----------|-------|----------|-------|
|              | Estimate | Se    | Estimate | Se    |
| $\beta_0$    | 0.441    | 0.056 | 0.394    | 0.048 |
| $\beta_1$    | 0.024    | 0.007 | 0.028    | 0.006 |
| $\beta_2$    | 0.145    | 0.030 | 0.086    | 0.009 |
| $\beta_3$    | −0.154   | 0.030 | −0.112   | 0.017 |
| cut-offs     |          |       |          |       |
| $\tau_1$     | 9.679    | 0.213 | 9.384    | 0.241 |
| $\tau_2$     | 11.391   | 0.235 | 13.02    | 0.247 |

The segmented regression equations explained 73% and 86% of the total variance of  $Vba$  for males and females, respectively.

For males, the two breakpoints, characterizing the higher pubertal development rate, lasted for 1.71 years with a  $Vba$  increasing rate (slope of the curve) of 0.169 years<sup>−1</sup> (se = 0.029 years<sup>−1</sup>), Figure 3. The values of  $Vba$  corresponding to the beginning and the end of the growth spurt were  $Vba_1 = 0.676$  (95%CI, 0.642–0.711) and  $Vba_2 = 0.966$  (95%CI, 0.905–1.028).

For females, the two breakpoints, characterizing the higher pubertal development rate, lasted for 3.76 years with a *Vba* increasing rate (slope of the curve) of 0.114 years<sup>-1</sup> (se = 0.007 years). The values of *Vba*, corresponding to the beginning and end of the higher pubertal development rate, were *Vba*<sub>1</sub> = 0.658 (95%CI, 0.634–0.682) and *Vba*<sub>2</sub> = 1.073 (95%CI, 1.044–1.101) respectively. Table 2 reported, for some different values of *Vba*, the corresponding median values of  $\theta$  (%) and the median of the time elapsed to the end of the pubertal spurt  $\Delta\tau$  (years) with the first and third quartile of its distribution.

**Table 2.** Median, first, and third quartile of the distribution of the residual proportion of the maturation,  $\theta$  (%), and the time elapsed to the end of the pubertal spurt,  $\Delta\tau$  (years), for the given ratio of projections of the anterior and posterior heights of the C4 vertebral body (*Vba*) in males and females.

| <i>Vba</i>   | $\theta$ (%) |        |      | $\Delta\tau$ (Years) |        |      | <i>Vba</i>     | $\theta$ (%) |        |      | $\Delta\tau$ (Years) |        |      |
|--------------|--------------|--------|------|----------------------|--------|------|----------------|--------------|--------|------|----------------------|--------|------|
|              | Q1           | Median | Q3   | Q1                   | Median | Q3   |                | Q1           | Median | Q3   | Q1                   | Median | Q3   |
| <b>Males</b> |              |        |      |                      |        |      | <b>Females</b> |              |        |      |                      |        |      |
| 0.67         | 0.95         | 0.99   | 1.00 | 1.57                 | 1.81   | 2.10 | 0.65           | 0.99         | 1.00   | 1.03 | 3.55                 | 3.73   | 3.92 |
| 0.69         | 0.88         | 0.93   | 0.97 | 1.47                 | 1.69   | 1.97 | 0.67           | 0.94         | 0.96   | 0.98 | 3.38                 | 3.55   | 3.73 |
| 0.71         | 0.81         | 0.86   | 0.91 | 1.36                 | 1.57   | 1.84 | 0.69           | 0.90         | 0.92   | 0.93 | 3.21                 | 3.37   | 3.55 |
| 0.73         | 0.75         | 0.80   | 0.85 | 1.25                 | 1.46   | 1.71 | 0.71           | 0.85         | 0.87   | 0.88 | 3.04                 | 3.19   | 3.36 |
| 0.75         | 0.68         | 0.73   | 0.78 | 1.14                 | 1.34   | 1.57 | 0.73           | 0.80         | 0.82   | 0.84 | 2.86                 | 3.02   | 3.18 |
| 0.77         | 0.61         | 0.67   | 0.72 | 1.03                 | 1.22   | 1.44 | 0.75           | 0.75         | 0.77   | 0.79 | 2.69                 | 2.84   | 2.99 |
| 0.79         | 0.55         | 0.60   | 0.66 | 0.92                 | 1.10   | 1.31 | 0.77           | 0.70         | 0.72   | 0.74 | 2.52                 | 2.66   | 2.81 |
| 0.81         | 0.48         | 0.54   | 0.60 | 0.81                 | 0.98   | 1.18 | 0.79           | 0.66         | 0.67   | 0.69 | 2.35                 | 2.48   | 2.63 |
| 0.83         | 0.41         | 0.47   | 0.53 | 0.70                 | 0.87   | 1.05 | 0.81           | 0.61         | 0.63   | 0.64 | 2.18                 | 2.31   | 2.44 |
| 0.85         | 0.35         | 0.41   | 0.47 | 0.59                 | 0.75   | 0.93 | 0.83           | 0.56         | 0.58   | 0.60 | 2.00                 | 2.13   | 2.26 |
| 0.87         | 0.28         | 0.35   | 0.41 | 0.48                 | 0.63   | 0.80 | 0.85           | 0.51         | 0.53   | 0.55 | 1.83                 | 1.95   | 2.08 |
| 0.89         | 0.21         | 0.28   | 0.34 | 0.37                 | 0.51   | 0.67 | 0.87           | 0.46         | 0.48   | 0.50 | 1.66                 | 1.77   | 1.89 |
| 0.91         | 0.15         | 0.22   | 0.28 | 0.25                 | 0.39   | 0.55 | 0.89           | 0.41         | 0.43   | 0.45 | 1.49                 | 1.60   | 1.71 |
| 0.93         | 0.08         | 0.15   | 0.22 | 0.14                 | 0.28   | 0.42 | 0.91           | 0.37         | 0.38   | 0.40 | 1.31                 | 1.42   | 1.53 |
| 0.95         | 0.01         | 0.09   | 0.16 | 0.02                 | 0.16   | 0.30 | 0.93           | 0.32         | 0.34   | 0.36 | 1.14                 | 1.24   | 1.35 |
|              |              |        |      |                      |        |      | 0.95           | 0.27         | 0.29   | 0.31 | 0.96                 | 1.06   | 1.16 |
|              |              |        |      |                      |        |      | 0.97           | 0.22         | 0.24   | 0.26 | 0.79                 | 0.88   | 0.98 |
|              |              |        |      |                      |        |      | 0.99           | 0.17         | 0.19   | 0.21 | 0.61                 | 0.71   | 0.80 |

The results of the validation process are synthesized in Table 3. It shows that our model did not produce any incorrect forecast. Furthermore, although the difference between males and females is not statistically significant, the number of correct forecasts in sampled males is almost twice that of females.

**Table 3.** The frequency distribution of the forecasts of the expected end of the spurt periods using regression model (1) for the 50 subjects belongs to the Michigan Growth Center.

| Sex     | Forecast |    |   |
|---------|----------|----|---|
|         | C        | U  | I |
| Males   | 17       | 8  | 0 |
| Females | 9        | 16 | 0 |

Abbreviation: C, correct forecast; U, slightly underestimated forecast; I, incorrect forecast.

#### 4. Discussion

In our study, we analyzed the ratio of the anterior and posterior sides of C4 (*Vba*), and our findings showed the variability of time and the incremental span of *Vba* in the circumpubertal period of life. Therefore, we hypothesized that this incremental span of *Vba* was a potential indicator of the beginning and the end of the pubertal growth spurt and skeletal development.

Segmental regression analysis detected specific *Vba* values at the beginning and the end of the pubertal growth spurt in males and females, which also determined the specific



age interval of the spurt in the tested population. The spurt began at nine years and three months old in females and was ahead of males for over four months. The mean ending of the spurt was at twelve years and nine months and was delayed in males for over fourteen months. Different regression models, Bayesian approach, and response surface methodology with statistical potentials in other topics may be a potential statistical tool for fitting an assumed experimental model to data obtained under the chosen design [7,55–59].

One of the limitations of qualitative vertebral cervical methods is identifying the different stages or shapes. Nestman et al. [60] studied CVM reproducibility and observed poor concordance and difficulties in classifying the shapes of C3 and C4 vertebral bodies as trapezoidal, rectangular horizontal, square, or rectangular vertical. Because of those difficulties, the method's reproducibility was tested for clinical use in determining the timing of orthodontic treatment. However, Perinetti et al. [61] reported that visual assessment of CVM appears accurate and repeatable, but stages 4 and 5 require a more careful evaluation. Furthermore, in a systematic review of the reliability of the CVM method to predict pubertal spurt, the authors concluded that although there was a good correlation between hand–wrist and CVM methods, there was a low level of evidence. Therefore, the reliability of the method remained uncertain [62].

The previous observations suggest the need for improvement of current methods by using a different approach that relies more on quantitative measurements rather than comparison based on stages. For example, when comparing *Vba* visually to the CVM stages, it is possible to observe that *Vba* values close to  $Vba_1$  correspond to a trapezoidal shape or CVMS II, while *Vba* values of  $Vba_2$  or close to 1.00 are more rectangular and, therefore, similar to CVM III. However, the CVM and Cervical Vertebral Maturation Stage (CVMS) methods can only show the stage of the evaluated patient.

The studies that have observed the morphological changes of the cervical vertebral bodies during growth from lateral cephalograms describe a consistent shift from a trapezoidal shape in the initial stages of maturation to a more rectangular shape related to the final stages of maturation [16,17]. Such variations in cervical vertebral body shapes suggest a relationship between the proportions of the posterior and anterior sides of the cervical bodies and the beginning and end of the pubertal growth spurt; the C4 vertebral body was chosen because it is usually clearly visible in the lateral cephalograms. Björk and Helm investigated the age at maximum height growth at puberty in Danish children to determine whether the maximal growth spurt had been reached or passed. They compared the maximum increase in height with age at ossification of the sesamoid of the thumb, and menarche, two specific stages of tooth development: all canines and premolars fully erupted, and all second molars fully erupted. They showed that the maximum growth in height was  $18 \pm 3.0$  months and ossification of the ulnar sesamoid of the thumb  $21 \pm 3.0$  months ahead in girls compared to boys. The gender difference in tooth development was significantly smaller, with the full eruption of the occlusal level of the canines and premolars being attained only  $2 \pm 5.2$  months earlier in girls, while the full eruption of the second molars was earlier in girls by  $6 \pm 4.7$  months. The ulnar sesamoid of the thumb was ossified by an average of 12 months before maximum pubertal growth in females and nine months before in males and preceded or coincided with maximum pubertal growth for both sexes.

From a clinical perspective, it would be helpful to obtain more precise information on the residual amount of growth just by calculating an easy-to-measure single variable such as *Vba* since this was not possible with current CVM or CVMS methods. Furthermore, our intra-observer and inter-observer reliability results show excellent concordance due to the ease of measuring precise and visible projections and calculating their proportion, making the method straightforward and practical.

The possible application of dental development analysis to assess pubertal growth spurt compared to skeletal development was compared by Bittencourt et al. [31] in a systematic review and meta-analysis. In the analyzed articles, the authors used skeletal methods according to Greulich-Pyle and Fishman and dental methods according to Nolla, Demirjian, and Moorrees [25]. Half of the research in the meta-analysis indicates a strong

correlation between tooth development and skeletal development, identifying the lower canine as the tooth that correlates the most with skeletal development. However, they pragmatically concluded that it is not possible to assess pubertal growth spurt by tooth development [31].

For orthodontic clinicians, the measurements of the posterior and anterior projections of body heights from C4 vertebrae and their ratio  $Vba$  would allow them to estimate the amount of time remaining for the growth spurt to occur or to determine if it has already passed. However, similar to other growth indicators, it must be used considering the individual variations and using additional information since no method has complete diagnostic reliability in identifying the pubertal growth spurt [27,45,63].

The longitudinal sample of cephalograms taken from the Michigan Growth Center to test the method presented positive results showing no incorrect predictions. However, it suggests that future studies should verify them using a larger sample of longitudinal data with the  $Vba$  measurement and evaluate the method and its specific cut-offs of  $Vba$  with other skeletal methods on different samples to test its applicability in clinical practice.

## 5. Conclusions

This study analyzed the ratio of the anterior height projection to the posterior of the C4 vertebral body or  $Vba$  during childhood, where preadolescence and adolescence occurred, and proved the applicability of  $Vba$  for estimating the beginning and end of the pubertal growth spurt and in a specific population. Based on the observations, the proposed method estimated the starting cut-off value of  $Vba$ ,  $Vba_1$ , and the ending cut-off value,  $Vba_2$ , of the higher pubertal development rate and the residual proportion of  $Vba$  of C4 maturation,  $\theta$  (%), with their standard errors.

The specific results suggest that the cut-off value of  $Vba$  breakpoints and  $\theta$  (%) need to be validated on other available C4 samples of different ethnic and geographical specificities.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, R.C., I.G. and L.F.; methodology, L.F., E.N. and D.K.G.; statistics, L.F.; validation, L.A.V.P. and L.J.; writing—original draft preparation, E.N., I.G., D.J. and L.A.V.P.; writing—reviewing and editing, I.G., L.A.V.P. and H.B. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by Croatian Science Foundation, grant number IP-2020-02-9423.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

1. Baccetti, T.; Franchi, L.; McNamara, J.A., Jr. An improved version of the cervical vertebral maturation (CVM) method for the assessment of mandibular growth. *Angle Orthod.* **2002**, *72*, 316–323. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
2. Baccetti, T.; Franchi, L.; McNamara, J.A., Jr. Treatment and posttreatment craniofacial changes after rapid maxillary expansion and facemask therapy. *Am. J. Orthod. Dentofac. Orthop.* **2000**, *118*, 404–413. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
3. Ball, G.; Woodside, D.; Tompson, B.; Hunter, W.S.; Posluns, J. Relationship between cervical vertebral maturation and mandibular growth. *Am. J. Orthod. Dentofac. Orthop.* **2011**, *139*, e455–e461. [[CrossRef](#)]
4. Björk, A.; Krebs, A.; Solow, B. A method for epidemiological registration of malocclusion. *Acta Odontol. Scand.* **1964**, *22*, 27–41. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
5. O'Reilly, M.T.; Yanniello, G.J. Mandibular growth changes and maturation of cervical vertebrae—A longitudinal cephalometric study. *Angle Orthod.* **1988**, *58*, 179–184. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. So, L.L. Skeletal maturation of the hand and wrist and its correlation with dental development. *Aust. Orthod. J.* **1997**, *15*, 1–9.
7. Yuan, J.T.; Furdock, R.J.; Benedick, A.; Liu, R.W. Estimating Skeletal Maturity by Segmented Linear Modeling of Key AP Knee Radiographic Parameters. *J. Pediatr. Orthop.* **2022**, *42*, 169–173. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
8. Sahin, R.; Kazadal, C. The Relationship Between Ossification in Metacarpophalangeal Sesamoids of the Thumb and the Period of Puberty: A Radiographic Study. *Medeni. Med. J.* **2022**, *37*, 300–305. [[CrossRef](#)]

9. Paschoini, V.L.; Nunes, D.C.; Matias, M.; Nahás-Scocate, A.C.R.; Feres, M.F.N. Accuracy of dental calcification stages for the identification of craniofacial pubertal growth spurt: Proposal of referral parameters. *Eur. Arch. Paediatr. Dent.* **2022**, *1*–9. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
10. Szemraj-Folmer, A.; Wojtaszek-Słomińska, A.; Racka-Pilszak, B.; Kuc-Michalska, M. Assessment of the duration of the pubertal growth spurt in patients with skeletal open bite: A cross-sectional study. *J. Orofac. Orthop.* **2021**, *82*, 92–98. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Al Khal, H.A.; Wong, R.W.; Rabie, A.B. Elimination of hand-wrist radiographs for maturity assessment in children needing orthodontic therapy. *Skelet. Radiol.* **2008**, *37*, 195–200. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Hagg, U.; Taranger, J. Skeletal stages of the hand and wrist as indicators of the pubertal growth spurt. *Acta Odontol. Scand.* **1980**, *38*, 187–200. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
13. Dahlberg, P.S.; Mosdol, A.; Ding, Y.; Bleka, O.; Rolseth, V.; Straumann, G.H.; Skjerven-Martinsen, M.; Delaveris, G.J.M.; Vist, G.E. A systematic review of the agreement between chronological age and skeletal age based on the Greulich and Pyle atlas. *Eur. Radiol.* **2019**, *29*, 2936–2948. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Bjork, A.; Helm, S. Prediction of the age of maximum pubertal growth in body height. *Angle Orthod.* **1967**, *37*, 134–143. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Fekonja, A. Evaluation of the eruption of permanent teeth and their association with malocclusion. *Clin. Exp. Dent. Res.* **2022**, *8*, 836–842. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
16. Fishman, L.S. Maturation patterns and prediction during adolescence. *Angle Orthod.* **1987**, *57*, 178–193. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
17. Lamparski, D.G. Skeletal age assessment utilizing cervical vertebrae. *Am. J. Orthod.* **1975**, *67*, 458–459. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Hassel, B.; Farman, A.G. Skeletal maturation evaluation using cervical vertebrae. *Am. J. Orthod. Dentofac. Orthop.* **1995**, *107*, 58–66. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Franchi, L.; Baccetti, T.; McNamara, J.A., Jr. Mandibular growth as related to cervical vertebral maturation and body height. *Am. J. Orthod. Dentofac. Orthop.* **2000**, *118*, 335–340. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Thomas, L.A.; Thomas, L.R.; Balla, S.B.; Gopalaiiah, H.; Kanaparthi, A.; Sai Sravanthi, G.; Palla, A.; Galic, I. Above or below 14 years? An orthopantomographic study based on chronological course of eruption of mandibular premolars and second molars in a sample of south Indian children. *Leg. Med.* **2021**, *48*, 101814. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
21. Kelmendi, J.; Cameriere, R.; Kocani, F.; Galic, I.; Mehmeti, B.; Vodanovic, M. The third molar maturity index in indicating the legal adult age in Kosovar population. *Int. J. Leg. Med.* **2018**, *132*, 1151–1159. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Rozylo-Kalinowska, I.; Kalinowski, P.; Kozek, M.; Galic, I.; Cameriere, R. Validity of the third molar maturity index I(3M) for indicating the adult age in the Polish population. *Forensic Sci. Int.* **2018**, *290*, 352.e1–352.e6. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
23. Balla, S.B.; Banda, T.R.; Galic, I.; Naga, N.M.; Naishadham, P.P. Validation of Cameriere’s third molar maturity index alone and in combination with apical maturity of permanent mandibular second molar for indicating legal age of 14 years in a sample of South Indian children. *Forensic Sci. Int.* **2019**, *297*, 243–248. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
24. Balla, S.B.; Chinni, S.S.; Galic, I.; Alwala, A.M.; Machani, P.; Cameriere, R. A cut-off value of third molar maturity index for indicating a minimum age of criminal responsibility: Older or younger than 16 years? *J. Forensic Leg. Med.* **2019**, *65*, 108–112. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
25. da Luz, L.C.P.; Anzulovic, D.; Benedicto, E.N.; Galic, I.; Brkic, H.; Biazzevic, M.G.H. Accuracy of four dental age estimation methodologies in Brazilian and Croatian children. *Sci. Justice* **2019**, *59*, 442–447. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
26. Galic, I.; Pacifici, A.; Carbone, D.; Pacifici, L.; Jeroncic, A.; Cameriere, R. Age estimation by the Cameriere’s normalized measurements (CNM) of the single permanent mandibular tooth on a panoramic radiograph. *Leg. Med.* **2017**, *26*, 65–72. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Brkic, H.; Galic, I.; Vodanovic, M.; Dumancic, J.; Mehdi, F.; Anic Milosevic, S. The Cameriere, Haavikko, Demirjian, and Willems methods for the assessment of dental age in Croatian children. *Int. J. Leg. Med.* **2022**, *136*, 1685–1696. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
28. Galic, I.; Vodanovic, M.; Jankovic, S.; Mihanovic, F.; Nakas, E.; Prohic, S.; Galic, E.; Brkic, H. Dental age estimation on Bosnian-Herzegovinian children aged 6–14 years: Evaluation of Chaillet’s international maturity standards. *J. Forensic Leg. Med.* **2013**, *20*, 40–45. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
29. Galic, I.; Vodanovic, M.; Cameriere, R.; Nakas, E.; Galic, E.; Selimovic, E.; Brkic, H. Accuracy of Cameriere, Haavikko, and Willems radiographic methods on age estimation on Bosnian-Herzegovinian children age groups 6–13. *Int. J. Leg. Med.* **2011**, *125*, 315–321. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
30. Dadgar, S.; Hadian, H.; Ghobadi, M.; Sobouti, F.; Rakhshan, V. Correlations among chronological age, cervical vertebral maturation index, and Demirjian developmental stage of the maxillary and mandibular canines and second molars. *Surg. Radiol. Anat.* **2021**, *43*, 131–143. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Bittencourt, M.V.; Cericato, G.; Franco, A.; Girão, R.; Lima, A.P.B.; Paranhos, L. Accuracy of dental development for estimating the pubertal growth spurt in comparison to skeletal development: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Dentomaxillofac. Radiol.* **2018**, *47*, 20170362. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
32. Wittschieber, D.; Vieth, V.; Wierer, T.; Pfeiffer, H.; Schmeling, A. Cameriere’s approach modified for pelvic radiographs: A novel method to assess apophyseal iliac crest ossification for the purpose of forensic age diagnostics. *Int. J. Leg. Med.* **2013**, *127*, 825–829. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Cameriere, R.; Brogi, G.; Ferrante, L.; Mirtella, D.; Vultaggio, C.; Cingolani, M.; Fornaciari, G. Reliability in age determination by pulp/tooth ratio in upper canines in skeletal remains. *J. Forensic Sci.* **2006**, *51*, 861–864. [[CrossRef](#)]

34. Cameriere, R.; Cingolani, M.; Giuliadori, A.; De Luca, S.; Ferrante, L. Radiographic analysis of epiphyseal fusion at knee joint to assess likelihood of having attained 18 years of age. *Int. J. Leg. Med.* **2012**, *126*, 889–899. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
35. Cameriere, R.; Cunha, E.; Wasterlain, S.N.; De Luca, S.; Sassaroli, E.; Pagliara, F.; Nuzzolese, E.; Cingolani, M.; Ferrante, L. Age estimation by pulp/tooth ratio in lateral and central incisors by peri-apical X-ray. *J. Forensic Leg. Med.* **2013**, *20*, 530–536. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
36. Cameriere, R.; De Angelis, D.; Ferrante, L.; Scarpino, F.; Cingolani, M. Age estimation in children by measurement of open apices in teeth: A European formula. *Int. J. Leg. Med.* **2007**, *121*, 449–453. [[CrossRef](#)]
37. Cameriere, R.; Ferrante, L.; Cingolani, M. Age estimation in children by measurement of open apices in teeth. *Int. J. Leg. Med.* **2006**, *120*, 49–52. [[CrossRef](#)]
38. Cameriere, R.; Ferrante, L.; De Angelis, D.; Scarpino, F.; Galli, F. The comparison between measurement of open apices of third molars and Demirjian stages to test chronological age of over 18 year olds in living subjects. *Int. J. Leg. Med.* **2008**, *122*, 493–497. [[CrossRef](#)]
39. Cameriere, R.; Ferrante, L.; Mirtella, D.; Cingolani, M. Carpals and epiphyses of radius and ulna as age indicators. *Int. J. Leg. Med.* **2006**, *120*, 143–146. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
40. Cameriere, R.; Giuliadori, A.; Zampi, M.; Galic, I.; Cingolani, M.; Pagliara, F.; Ferrante, L. Age estimation in children and young adolescents for forensic purposes using fourth cervical vertebra (C4). *Int. J. Leg. Med.* **2015**, *129*, 347–355. [[CrossRef](#)]
41. Cameriere, R.; Scendon, R.; Ferrante, L.; Mirtella, D.; Oncini, L.; Cingolani, M. An Effective Model for Estimating Age in Unaccompanied Minors under the Italian Legal System. *Healthcare* **2023**, *11*, 224. [[CrossRef](#)]
42. Zolotenkova, G.V.; Rogachev, A.I.; Pigolkin, Y.I.; Edelev, I.S.; Borshchevskaya, V.N.; Cameriere, R. Age Classification in Forensic Medicine Using Machine Learning Techniques. *Sovrem. Tekhnol. V Meditsine* **2022**, *14*, 15–22. [[CrossRef](#)]
43. Spinass, E.; Melis, G.; Zerman, N.; De Luca, S.; Cameriere, R. Age Assessment in Children and Adolescents by Measuring the Open Apices in Teeth: A New Sardinian Formula. *Dent. J.* **2022**, *10*, 50. [[CrossRef](#)]
44. Scendon, R.; Ribeiro, I.L.A.; Cingolani, M.; Giovagnoni, A.; Curzi, M.; Fedeli, P.; Cameriere, R. A new analytical cut-off point for determining 18 years of age using MRI on medial clavicular epiphysis. *Leg. Med.* **2022**, *54*, 102010. [[CrossRef](#)]
45. Pereira, C.P.; Belo, C.; Sardinha, J.; Santos, R.; Salvado, F.; Cameriere, R. Quantitative and Qualitative Approaches for Dental Age Assessment in Sub Adult Portuguese Population: European Regression Formula and Demirjian Stages. *Acta Stomatol. Croat.* **2022**, *56*, 288–298. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
46. Kihara, E.; Galic, I.; Nyamunga, D.; Mehdi, F.; Velandia Palacio, L.A.; Cameriere, R. Validation of the Italian, European, North German, Malaysian, and South African black formulas on Cameriere method using panoramic radiographs in Kenyan children. *Int. J. Leg. Med.* **2022**, *136*, 1495–1506. [[CrossRef](#)]
47. Angelakopoulos, N.; De Luca, S.; Oliveira-Santos, I.; Ribeiro, I.L.A.; Bianchi, I.; Balla, S.B.; Kis, H.C.; Jimenez, L.G.; Zolotenkova, G.; Yusof, M.Y.P.; et al. Third molar maturity index (I(3M)) assessment according to different geographical zones: A large multi-ethnic study sample. *Int. J. Leg. Med.* **2022**. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
48. Palmela Pereira, C.; Rodrigues, A.; Santos, A.; Salvado, F.; Santos, R.; Cameriere, R. Cut-off for the legal ages in the Portuguese Population by Third Maturity Index: Measures of Accuracy. *Arch. Oral Biol.* **2021**, *125*, 105089. [[CrossRef](#)]
49. Angelakopoulos, N.; Galic, I.; Balla, S.B.; Kis, H.C.; Gomez Jimenez, L.; Zolotenkova, G.; Mohd Yusof, M.Y.P.; Hadzic Selmanagic, A.; Pandey, H.; Palmela Pereira, C.; et al. Comparison of the third molar maturity index (I(3M)) between left and right lower third molars to assess the age of majority: A multi-ethnic study sample. *Int. J. Leg. Med.* **2021**, *135*, 2423–2436. [[CrossRef](#)]
50. Gulsahi, A.; Cehreli, S.B.; Galic, I.; Ferrante, L.; Cameriere, R. Age estimation in Turkish children and young adolescents using fourth cervical vertebra. *Int. J. Leg. Med.* **2020**, *134*, 1823–1829. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
51. World Medical, A. World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki: Ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects. *JAMA* **2013**, *310*, 2191–2194. [[CrossRef](#)]
52. Ferrante, L.; Cameriere, R. Statistical methods to assess the reliability of measurements in the procedures for forensic age estimation. *Int. J. Leg. Med.* **2009**, *123*, 277–283. [[CrossRef](#)]
53. Muggeo, V.M. Estimating regression models with unknown break-points. *Stat. Med.* **2003**, *22*, 3055–3071. [[CrossRef](#)]
54. R Core Team R. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*; R Core Team R.: Vienna, Austria, 2020.
55. Sodeifian, G.; Ansari, K. Optimization of Ferulago Angulata oil extraction with supercritical carbon dioxide. *J. Supercrit. Fluids* **2011**, *57*, 38–43. [[CrossRef](#)]
56. Sodeifian, G.; Ardestani, N.S.; Sajadian, S.A.; Ghorbandoost, S. Application of supercritical carbon dioxide to extract essential oil from Cleome coluteoides Boiss: Experimental, response surface and grey wolf optimization methodology. *J. Supercrit. Fluids* **2016**, *114*, 55–63. [[CrossRef](#)]
57. Faragalli, A.; Skrami, E.; Bucci, A.; Gesuita, R.; Cameriere, R.; Carle, F.; Ferrante, L. Combining Bayesian Calibration and Copula Models for Age Estimation. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2023**, *20*, 1201. [[CrossRef](#)]
58. Cameriere, R.; De Luca, S.; Soriano Vazquez, I.; Kis, H.C.; Pigolkin, Y.; Kumagai, A.; Ferrante, L. A full Bayesian calibration model for assessing age in adults by means of pulp/tooth area ratio in periapical radiography. *Int. J. Leg. Med.* **2021**, *135*, 677–685. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
59. Ferrante, L.; Skrami, E.; Gesuita, R.; Cameriere, R. Bayesian calibration for forensic age estimation. *Stat. Med.* **2015**, *34*, 1779–1790. [[CrossRef](#)]

60. Nestman, T.S.; Marshall, S.D.; Qian, F.; Holton, N.; Franciscus, R.G.; Southard, T.E. Cervical vertebrae maturation method morphologic criteria: Poor reproducibility. *Am. J. Orthod. Dentofac. Orthop.* **2011**, *140*, 182–188. [[CrossRef](#)]
61. Perinetti, G.; Perillo, L.; Franchi, L.; Di Lenarda, R.; Contardo, L. Maturation of the middle phalanx of the third finger and cervical vertebrae: A comparative and diagnostic agreement study. *Orthod. Craniofac. Res.* **2014**, *17*, 270–279. [[CrossRef](#)]
62. Santiago, R.C.; de Miranda Costa, L.F.; Vitral, R.W.; Fraga, M.R.; Bolognese, A.M.; Maia, L.C. Cervical vertebral maturation as a biologic indicator of skeletal maturity. *Angle Orthod.* **2012**, *82*, 1123–1131. [[CrossRef](#)]
63. Perinetti, G.; Contardo, L. Reliability of Growth Indicators and Efficiency of Functional Treatment for Skeletal Class II Malocclusion: Current Evidence and Controversies. *BioMed Res. Int.* **2017**, *2017*, 1367691. [[CrossRef](#)]

**Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.